Kushner LaGraize, LLC.

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS AND CONSULTANTS

S DAVID KUSHNER CPA CIFA'
WILSON A LOGRAIZE JR CPA CIFA CFF
ERNEST G GELPI CPA CGFM
CRAIG M FABACHER CPA
DOUGLAS W FINEGAN CPA CVA
MARY ANNE GARCIA CPA
A REFERENCE A CONSTITUTE OF THE CONSTITU A Professional Accounting Corporation

WILLIAM B HAMILTON CPA KATHARINE M LASSITER CPA RICHARD J RUMNEY, CPA

Members American institute of CPA's Society of Louisiana CPA's

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Timothy J. Palmatier, Finance Director Jefferson Parish Government Gretna, Louisiana

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Jefferson Parish Government, solely to assist you in ensuring compliance with the terms of the contract between Jefferson Pansh and the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans dated January 10, 1989 for the six quarters ended December 31, 2012. The Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans is responsible for the presentation of the quarterly operations and maintenance costs of the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans' Pumping Station No. 6 in accordance with the terms of the January 10, 1989 contract between Jefferson Parish and the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans. This agreed-upon procedure engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Our procedures and findings are detailed in the accompanying list of procedures and findings and Schedules I and 2.

We were not engaged to, and did not, conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the quarterly operations and maintenance costs of the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans' Pumping Station No. 6. Accordingly, we do no express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Jefferson Parish Government and is not intended to be and should not be used for any other purpose

Kushner LaGraize. 2.2.C.

June 10, 2013

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document Acopy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate at the office of the parish clerk of court JUL 0 3 2013

Release Date PAMERICA INTERNATIONAL

Procedures and Findings

1. Obtain and review the original contract and subsequent amendments between Jefferson Parish and the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans (The Agreement).

We obtained and reviewed the original contract without exception

2. For the periods being examined, obtain and review the budget of operations and maintenance costs for Drainage Pumping Station No. 6 and determine that the budget was presented to the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans (Sewerage and Water Board) Engineering Committee and Jefferson Parish as required by Section VI C 2 of the Agreement.

The original agreement between Jefferson Parish and the Sewerage and Water Board states in section VI.C 2 that the board shall submit a budget of operations and maintenance expenses for Drainage Pumping Station No. 6 for approval to the Engineering Committee Per discussion with the Sewerage and Water Board's Financial Administrator, the engineering committee does not exist. The Finance Department does submit a comprehensive annual budget for approval by the Board No budget is submitted to Jefferson Parish, however it is made publicly available.

3. Make inquiries as to the receipt of Federal Emergency Management Agency reimbursements for additional operations and maintenance expenses of Drainage Pumping Station No. 6

We inquired as to the receipt of FEMA reimbursements. None were noted.

4 Obtained quarterly invoices and underlying support for the periods under examination. Recalculate each invoice and verify the clerical accuracy. Determine that the formulas used in the calculations are accurate and in accordance with the Agreement.

We recalculated each invoice received from the Sewerage and Water Board, without exception.

5. Review the central amounts invoiced to ensure that Jefferson Parish is invoiced for the proper percentage of the operations and maintenance costs in accordance with the terms of the Agreement

We reviewed the central amounts invoiced. Jefferson Parish was invoiced for the proper percentage of operations and maintenance costs

6. Review the Sewerage and Water Board's procedures for accounting for direct and allocated costs associated with Drainage Pumping Station No. 6 to ensure that the allocated methodology is acceptable under the terms of the Agreement.

Timothy J Palmatier, Finance Director Jefferson Parish Government June 10, 2013 Page 3

Section VI. C. I. of the Agreement states.

"Operation and maintenance costs will include all costs incurred as deemed necessary in the operation, maintenance and repair of Pumping Station No. 6, as well as the cost of maintaining, repairing and/or keeping unobstructed the 17th Street Canal, the wages and salaries paid, materials, goods and utilities purchased; all overhead and managerial costs attributed thereto, the costs of professional services incurred in connection therewith, all contractual costs and any future costs to upgrade the facilities, machinery and/or the 17th Street Canal, or any other costs deemed necessary."

We reviewed the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans's procedures for accounting for direct and allocated costs and did not note any exceptions according to the terms of this Agreement However, the terms of the Agreement that define "Operation and Maintenance Costs" are vague and allow for administrative costs of the Drainage Department, as well as costs of other Drainage Pumping Stations operated by the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans to be included in the final amount invoiced to lefferson Parish. Our testing noted that all costs of the Drainage Department are included in the amount invoiced, along with one-third of the administrative costs of the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans. These costs are totaled and multiplied by a percentage of the capacity of cubic feet per second that Drainage Pumping Station No. 6 is allocated as compared to all Drainage Pumping Stations. This process is an estimate of the actual costs incurred by Drainage Pumping Station No. 6, and while not specifically prohibited in the agreement, may allow for costs specific to other Drainage Pumping Stations and administrative costs of the Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans to be included in the final amount invoiced. It is our recommendation that the lefferson Parish Government review the terms of this agreement and determine if revision is necessary to ensure that only the operating and maintenance costs of Drainage Pumping Station No. 6 are included in the amount invoiced.

- 7 Review the Sewerage and Water Board's procedures for allocating expenditures between drainage, sewerage and water to ensure that there are no duplicate charges on the invoices to Jefferson Parish.
 - We reviewed the Sewerage and Water Board's procedures for allocating expenditures between drainage, sewerage and water and noted no duplicate charges
- 8. Review the Sewerage and Water Board's cost for associated operations (high pressure gas cost, electrical restoration costs, etc.) to determine that such costs are being allocated properly between sewerage, water, and drainage and not being borne disproportionately by drainage costs at Drainage Pumping Station No. 6, which is shared with Jefferson Parish
 - We reviewed the Sewerage and Water Board's procedures for allocating drainage cost without exception. See also response to Procedure 6.

Timothy J. Palmatier, Finance Director Jefferson Parish Government June 10, 2013 Page 4

9. Review the Sewerage and Water Board's procedures for allocating drainage costs to Drainage Pumping Station No. 6 based on its pumping capacity as compared to the entire drainage operation to ensure that Jefferson Parish is not being invoiced for a disproportionate share of the Sewerage and Water Board's drainage costs.

We reviewed the Sewerage and Water Board's procedures for allocating drainage costs without exception. In our review, we used 9,580 cubic feet per second (CFS) as the capacity for Drainage Pumping Station No. 6, which was reviewed and approved by Jefferson Parish, and 49,223 CFS as the total drainage operations capacity, which was represented to us by the Sewerage and Water Board.

10. Obtain the Sewerage and Water Board's responses to findings and present the findings and responses in a report to the Jefferson Parish council.

No findings were noted.

11. Present a schedule of the costs billed to Jefferson Parish by quarter and year for the period under examination. Determine the percentage by which the invoiced costs have increased or decreased from period to period.

See Schedules I and 2.

JEFFERSON PARISH GOVERNMENT

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE COSTS SCHEDULES 1 AND 2 PUMPING STATION No. 6

Schedule 1 shows the pro rata cost of operations for DPS No. 6., as billed to Jefferson Parish

Costs Billed to Jefferson Parish

		•	•	*	*	•		
		2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
1st Quarter	\$	130,621	\$ 105,571	\$ 84,102	\$ 92,953	\$ 137,581	\$ 139,164	\$ 123,344
2nd Quarter		309,434	130,616	303,795	177,033	180,068	137,057	157,322
3rd Quarter		231,565	185,212	167,006	164,107	177,436	175,380	200,449
4th Quarter		385,934	300,885	275,893	233,840	226,100	268,277	264,803
Totals	<u>\$</u>	1,057,554	\$ 722,284	\$ 830,796	\$ 667,933	\$ 721,185	\$ 719,878	\$ 745,918

Schedule 2 shows the percentage change in billings from the previous years and the percentage increase/decrease in billings from 2007 through 2012.

Increase (Decrease) in Billed Costs from Previous Year

	•	*	*	*			
_	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2006-2012
1st Quarter	-19%	-20%	11%	48%	1%	-11%	-6%
2nd Quarter	-58%	133%	-42%	2%	-24%	15%	-49%
3rd Quarter	-20%	-10%	-2%	8%	-1%	14%	-13%
4th Quarter	-22%	-8%	-15%	-3%	19%	-1%	-31%
Total per year	-32%	15%	-20%	8%	0%	4%	29%

Prepared by prior year Auditors